Project Reviewed:
Project Number:
Project Number:

<u> </u>	Imperial IRWMP Project Evaluation and Ranking Criteria							
Criteria	Weight	Performance Measure	Reviewer	Project				
IRWMP Goals			Score	Score				
Vater Supply Goal	Diversify th	ne regional water supply portfolio to ensure a long-term, verifiable, reliable, and sustainable						
rater supply dour		neet current and future demands						
L. Effect to agricultural users of		Does the project have an effect to water supplies historically available to agriculture?						
vater.	2			0				
2. Improve Water Supply.		Does the project provide a firm, verifiable, and sustainable supply that contributes to the						
• • •	3	regional goal of 50 to 100 thousand acre-feet per year for municipal, commercial, or		0				
		industrial demands by 2025?						
B. Protect Surface Water Rights,	4	Would the project optimize and sustain use of Colorado River entitlements through		0				
naintain Colorado River yields.		development of groundwater storage of underruns?						
Conserves Colorado River Conserves Colorado River Conserves Colorado River		Would the project implement water conservation measures that demonstrate reasonable		0				
Supplies.	4	beneficial use and maintain consistency with established industry standards, state, and federal requirements?		0				
5. Support for in-lieu uses or		Would the project provide a source of supply that could be used as a substitute for a						
substitution for Colorado River	4	current use of Colorado River supplies, and allow for reapportionment within the Imperial		0				
Water.	·	Region?		Ü				
5. Integrate Resource	_	Will the project apply or integrate Resource Management Strategies?		^				
Management Strategies.	2			0				
7. Plan Consistency.	2	Is the project consistent with City and County General Plan, State or Federal Land Use Plan,		0				
	2	UWMP, or existing Capital Facility Plan?		<u> </u>				
8. Groundwater Rights.	1	Will the project protect correlative groundwater rights or optimize the use of groundwater?		0				
	1	Water Supply Goal Score Subtotal		0				
Water Quality Goal		ter quality for beneficial use consistent with regional community interests and the RWQCB						
L. Match Water Quality to use.		through cooperation with stakeholders, local, and state agencies. Would the project make beneficial use of poor quality water and provide economic						
i. Match water Quality to use.	2	benefits?		0				
2. Support DACs- Wastewater.		Would the project support DACs in meeting wastewater disposal and permit requirements;						
	1	create economies of scale; and provide recycled water and reuse opportunities to extend		0				
		Colorado River supplies?						
3. Support DACs- Drinking Water	4	Would the project support DACs in meeting drinking water standards, protecting public		0				
		health, or creating economies of scale?						
1. Effect on Existing Waterways	2	Could the project affect the water quality of drains or rivers?		0				
5. Comply with Total Maximum	1	Would the project help the region comply with Regional Water Quality Control Board		0				
Daily Loads (TMDLs)	_	Requirements or implement to stormwater BMPs?						
6. Preserve or Improve	2	Would the project preserve or improve quality of groundwater resources?		0				
	=	Water Quality Goal Score Subtotal		0				
Environmental Protection and	Protect and	d enhance aquatic ecosystems and wildlife habitat consistent with municipal, commercial,						
Enhancement Goal	industrial,	and agricultural land uses.						
L. Environmental Enhancements	3	Would the project increase or improve habitat or support mitigation of other impacts?		0				
2. Integrated Design Elements	_	Does the project integrate environmental, open space, parks, or other recreational						
	2	elements into the design to achieve multiple benefits?		0				
		Environmental Enhancement Goal Score Subtotal		0				
Flood Protection and Stormwater	Protect life	and property from flooding and develop regional and local flood protection and stormwater						
Management Goal	manageme	ent strategies.						
		I						
1. Reduce impacts from	2	Would the project help to reduce economic damages; and protect life and property from		0				
stormwater events		localized stormwater events and runoff from urban areas?						
		Flood Goal Score Subtotal		0				
Percent Total Score=		IRWMP Goals Subtotal Score		0				
Strategic Considerations for IRWN	1 Plan Imple							
L. Public Acceptance/Public	3	Will the project be able to gain public support from the rate paying population?		0				
2. Cost Effectiveness	3	Is the cost per acre foot of yield competitive with the other projects in the Region?		0				
3. Equitable cost sharing	_	Do the entities that receive the benefits pay for the costs of producing those benefits?						
quitable cost sharing	2			0				
	1	Does the project provide measurable economic benefits to Imperial Region in terms of net						
4. Promote Economic Development	3	economic activity, job creation, and revenue generation to IID, Imperial County and Cities?		0				

Project Reviewer:
Project Number:

Criteria	Weight	Performance Measure	Reviewer Score	Project Score
Percent Total Score=	18.3%	Strategic Considerations for IRWM Plan Implementation Subtotal		0
Readiness to Proceed Category				
1. Timeliness	2	Does the project have the ability for Stakeholders to act quickly to implement a project or program without the need for new agreements or additional funding?		0
2. Technical Feasibility of Project	4	Does the project have technical documentation to evaluate the technical feasibility of the project?		0
3. Environmental Compliance	2	Does the project have environmental documentation and clearance?		0
4. Permitting	1	Does the project have permits or a plan to obtain permits?		0
5. Funding	5	Are the project funding sources well defined?		0
Percent Total Score=	21.1%	Readiness to Proceed Subtotal		0
Other CDWR Statewide IRWMP Cri	teria			
1. Provides multiple benefits	5	Does the project provide a range of supply, water quality, flood, ecosystem, conservation, recreation, or other benefits?		0
2. Involves multiple participants and stakeholders	2	Does the project include multiple stakeholders and participants?		0
3. Provides regional benefits	4	Does the project provide tangible regional benefits or only to a single or limited stakeholder group?		0
4. State Program Preferences	2	Does the project support meet the state preferences?		0
5. Statewide Priorities	2	Does the project support meet the statewide priorities?		0
5. Climate Change Adaption	2	Would the project support the region adaption to climate change or reduce the vulnerability to the effects of climate change?		0
7. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Contribution- Project	1	Does the project affect greenhouse gas emissions in the region?		0
3. Greenhouse Gas Emissions - Support to Renewable Energy	2	Does the project support expansion of renewable energy portfolio for the Region or State?		0
Percent Total Score=	12.2%	Other CDWR Statewide IRWMP Criteria Subtotal		0
	·	Total Project Score		0